

**Exhibit 6-B**

**Privilege Log**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
 SOUTHERN DIVISION - DETROIT  
 In Re: City of Detroit, Michigan  
 Case No. 13-53846  
 State of Michigan  
 Privilege Log  
 April 25, 2014

Item #	DocType	Date	To	CC	From	Subject	Notes	Privilege Reason
1	Letter	4/12/2013	William D. Schuette, Attorney General		Alan S. Schwartz, Honigman attorney	Honigman opinion regarding DIA art.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
2	Email	5/24/2013	AAG Jason Evans, AAG George Elworth, AAG Joshua O. Booth, AAG Daniel Sonneveldt, farrah.b@gcisonline.com, Chief Deputy AG Carol Isaacs, AG Bureau Chief Bob Ianni	Alan S. Schwartz, Arthur T. O'Reilly, and Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorneys	John D. Pirich, Honigman attorney	Forwarding three attachments: (1) 1997 DIA Operating Agreement, (2) Honigman-prepared work product, (3) Honigman-prepared work product.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
3	Email	5/28/2013	AG Bureau Chief Bob Ianni		John D. Pirich, Honigman attorney	Forwarding two cases for consideration.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
4	Email	5/28/2013	AAG William R. Bloomfield	John D. Pirich, Alan S. Schwartz, Honigman attorneys	Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorney	Forwarding five attachments regarding ethics of art deaccession.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
5	Email	5/29/2013	AAG William R. Bloomfield	John D. Pirich, Alan S. Schwartz, Honigman attorneys	Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorney	Regarding accession of art into the DIA collection.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
6	Email	5/31/2013	Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorney		AAG William R. Bloomfield	Questions regarding previous attachments and operation of museum; answer to questions and two attachments of responsive documents.	This is an email chain which includes an initial email and a response email.	Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
7	Email	5/31/2013	Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorney		AAG William R. Bloomfield	This continues the previous email chain and includes a follow-up question and a follow-up response.	Email chain.	Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
8	Email	6/1/2013	AAG William R. Bloomfield	Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorney	Arthur T. O'Reilly, Honigman attorney	Forwarding attachment of DIA memo regarding legal and practical constraints of selling the collection.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
9	Email	6/4/2013	AAG William R. Bloomfield	Arthur T. O'Reilly, and Alan S. Schwartz, Honigman attorneys	Joshua F. Opperer, Honigman attorney	Answer to a question regarding museum employees.		Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
10	Email	6/4/2013	Arthur T. O'Reilly, Honigman attorney		AAG William R. Bloomfield	Question regarding state support of DIA; answer with attachment.	Email chain, including question and the next day's response.	Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
11	Email	6/12/2013	AAG Heather Meingast		Arthur T. O'Reilly, Honigman attorney	Forwarding contact information, and confirmation of receipt from AAG Meingast.	Email chain.	Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
 SOUTHERN DIVISION - DETROIT  
 In Re: City of Detroit, Michigan  
 Case No. 13-53846  
 State of Michigan  
 Privilege Log  
 April 25, 2014

Item #	DocType	Date	To	CC	From	Subject	Notes	Privilege Reason
12	Email	6/12/2013	AAG Heather Meingast	John D. Pirich, and Daniel N. Adams, Honigman attorneys	Arthur T. O'Reilly, Honigman attorney	Forwarding 12 attachments regarding purpose of the museum over the years; response from AAG Meingast confirming receipt; also includes internal Honigman communication inadvertently appended to email sent to Attorney General's office.	Email chain.	Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product
13	Email	6/13/2013	Arthur T. O'Reilly, Honigman attorney	AAG William R. Bloomfield	AAG Heather Meingast	Forwarding finished DIA opinion after it was published.	This email chain is part of the previous email chain, but has been included as a separate entry for purposes of this log because the recipients and sender had changed.	Attorney-Client; common-interest; deliberative process; work-product